

**THE
TRUE
STORY
OF
YALTA!**

By MYRON C. FAGAN

No. 30

Published by
CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, Inc.

APRIL-MAY 1953—*News-Bulletin*

Based on Myron C. Fagan's address to the Fellows of the Cinema Educational Guild at their meeting of April 20, 1953, at the Wilshire Ebell Club in Los Angeles, California.

CONTENTS

- 1) Why Bohlen Appointed to Ambassador to Moscow
 - 2) An Old FDR Trick
 - 3) Taft Makes Grave Mistake
 - 4) How Ike is "Delaying Action"
 - 5) Ike Breaks YALTA Repudiation Pledge
 - 6) **THE TREASON COMMITTED AT YALTA!**
 - 7) **KOREA IS RESULT OF YALTA**
 - 8) Hollywood Reds Writhe Again
 - 9) FDR Forced Theatre to Go Red
 - 10) Ike Must Fulfill His Pledges
-

IS BOHLEN ANOTHER HISS?

For two thousand years GETHSEMANE has been the word for the greatest betrayal in the history of man. Today YALTA is the word for the second greatest betrayal.

Today we are too close to that foul deed to even approximately appraise the full evil of it . . . and of the Judas-treason committed by the individuals involved in that fiendish plot for the enslavement of the entire world. Only history, coldly impersonal and unbiased, can make that appraisal. But there is no doubt that every individual who participated in that conspiracy will go down in history as an enemy of man and of God.

In that sinister gathering there was one Charles E. Bohlen, whose recent appointment as ambassador to Russia has the nation seething. By his own designation Bohlen was a minor character — merely the interpreter for Roosevelt and ALGER HISS. With all due respect to his modesty, the fact remains that he was specially chosen by Roosevelt and hand-picked by Hiss for that job. As such, he was present at every conference, *no matter how confidential*. He knows everything that transpired at all those secret meetings. Of necessity, he was on intimate terms with Roosevelt and Hiss, with Hopkins and Marshall. By the same token he was bound to be on friendly terms with Stalin and Molotov and Gromyko — in fact, in *their* book he is recorded as “a good friend of Moscow.” Thus, it is a foregone conclusion that both Hiss and Roosevelt chose him because they were sure that he was “one of their own.” And in *my* book, any individual who had the confidence of any of that unholy crew to that degree is at the very least a potential Alger Hiss — *yet Eisenhower has appointed this man Bohlen to be United States ambassador to Russia!!!*

Mr. Bohlen's modest proclamation that he was but a minor character in that conspiracy is a very wise modesty *in these days*. But by his words at a hearing before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on March 2, Bohlen virtually confessed that he was at the very least an accessory to that crime. He stood by and watched that villainy being done. He did not lift his hand to *try* to prevent it . . . *nor did he ever lift his voice to protest or expose it*. Nor did he come before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on that second day of March to *expose* it — he came there to *emphasize* his *minor* participation in it, as a defense for himself and a vindication for Eisenhower's choosing him to be our ambassador in Moscow. But in that defense he revealed the cardinal guilt of ALL who participated in that rape of the world at Yalta.

That hearing before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee was a CLOSED one — *needless to say why!* We don't know ALL that transpired at that hearing — *we never will*. Bohlen's testimony was

summarized for the Press by Senator Wiley, Chairman of the Committee.

After stating that Bohlen had "*conceded*" that the Yalta agreement was totally "*unnecessary from the military point of view*" and merited sharp criticism "*because it was made without China's participation,*" Wiley directly quoted him as saying that "*the Yalta clauses affecting the Far East were based on previous preliminary conversations between Soviet Premier Stalin and W. Averell Harriman,*" then the U. S. Ambassador in Moscow. "*Those conversations,*" Bohlen pointed out, "*looked toward Russia's entry into the war against Japan.*" If Bohlen is to be believed, Harriman carried on the talks with Stalin without the knowledge of the State Department. Next we will be asked to believe that the cow had really jumped over the moon.

Bohlen further acknowledged that the Yalta concessions to Russia were unnecessary because "*by that time Japan was hopelessly defeated and the aid of Russia no longer needed.*" It was then that he "*agreed*" that there was ground for criticism in the fact that the pact was concluded "*without the participation of the Chinese.*" But in the same breath he stressed the point that Chiang Kai-Shek *later* agreed to the territorial dispositions made at Yalta. Of course, he did *not* stress the fact that Chiang was forced to "*agree*" by what might be termed "*at the point of a gun*" — that Roosevelt had in so many words said "*Agree — or else!*"

In short, paraphrasing Shakespeare, Bohlen came to that hearing "*not to praise Yalta, but to bury it*" — and thus fumigate Eisenhower's appointment of a Yalta grave digger to be our chief representative in Moscow.

The important point of this entire matter is that one of Eisenhower's most solemn pledges during the campaign — *pledges which induced the American people to elect him* — was that he would thoroughly clean up "*the mess in Washington*" and drive every Roosevelt-Trumanite out of all government agencies. Yet, with all those pledges still echoing in our ears, he appoints a direct Roosevelt-Hiss co-conspirator to one of the most important posts in our government. His appointments of Dulles, Conant, Stassen, Durkin, Bedell Smith were reprehensible enough, but the Bohlen appointment is an arrogant piling of insult on top of injury . . . *but there is much more to the Bohlen appointment than is apparent to the naked eye.*

WHY BOHLEN WAS APPOINTED

For years the one outstanding fear of the New Deal-Fair Deal gang and the Internationalists has been that a LOYAL American might become the President of the United States, the kind of an American

who would open all the political closets and reveal all the livid skeletons within them. For twenty years Roosevelt and Truman kept all files sealed and all Intelligence agencies muzzled. They had filled all key positions with characters whom it behooved to keep all those reeking closets locked. The fear of a clean sweep out of their jobs of all those characters by a new President gave Truman and his malodorous mob nightly nightmares right up until the night Eisenhower was nominated. Those nightmares were peopled with Douglas MacArthur and Taft, with unfettered Joe McCarthys and Jenners and Pat McCarrans—and they'd wake up soaked in cold perspiration. Because if those reeking closets suddenly belched forth all the stench they contained many high and mighty heads would roll in the gutters — *where they belong!*

The nomination of Eisenhower was a great relief to those "boys." Ike's kind of a Republican Administration would not be *too* dangerous to them. *He has quite a few skeletons of his own in those very same closets.* Also, he owes his career to Roosevelt -- to Truman -- to Marshall — to the Internationalists. If there had to be a Republican Administration, Ike was their safest bet. That was why the Internationalists fought so frantically to get him nominated. True, sooner or later, many of the keepers of the keys of those reeking closets would of necessity have to get cans tied to their tails, in order to provide job-rewards for Republicans and so-called Republicans, but it wouldn't have to be done in a great hurry. Much stripping and "fixing" of files and records could be accomplished in two or three months. They felt quite confident that Ike would find a way to "delay action" and give them those two or three months — *and he did!* Also there are those "Republicans" such as Dulles, Stassen, Lodge, Conant, Dewey — *just to name a few* — who could be trusted quite as much as an Acheson to "keep America safe" — *for Internationalism.* Those "Republicans" can be depended upon to appoint "safe" men for all sensitive posts — or to serve as willing "Patsys" for "suspect" appointments by Ike — and thus keep Ike's skirts clean. Hence the appointment of Bohlen by Dulles — and Ike's staunch support of Dulles. Actually, however, Bohlen was Eisenhower's choice, not Dulles'!!! And the reason for it is obvious:

On the surface the Moscow post is an Ambassadorship in name only. To all intents and purposes our ambassador is there merely as a diplomatic gesture. However, in Moscow there are many who know the truth about the treason at Yalta. True, it would mean a quick and very unpleasant death for anyone of them caught talking about it with *any* American. But it *could* happen. Even a remote chance of it happening is too great a risk. Hence, only a very "safe" man could be entrusted with that otherwise unimportant ambassadorship. We have scores of career men in our service who are far more capable, diplo-

mats than the interpreter of Yalta, who are just as fluent with the Russian language — *but none is as "safe" as Bohlen!*

It is known that Dulles was greatly surprised and not a *little* alarmed when Ike indicated his choice for Moscow. As a former Senator and the chief architect of the GOP's foreign policy plank, he knew that there was trouble ahead with Bohlen — a favorite of Roosevelt and Truman, a confidant of Alger Hiss, an apologist for Yalta, an Archon of the purest ray serene. Bohlen was bound to be anathema with all the *true* Americans in the Senate. But Dulles quickly realized that Ike's choice was sound from their standpoint — even though it might threaten his own position, as also Ike's honeymoon with Congress. It was what military-man Eisenhower called "a calculated risk" to avoid possible sure disaster. Dulles saw the point — and announced the appointment.

AN OLD FDR TRICK

At this point it is interesting to note to what methods Eisenhower and Dulles resorted to encompass their appointment of Bohlen:

In the years before Roosevelt it was customary for the President and the Secretary of State to submit for Senate approval the names of all prospective ambassadors. In fact, the Constitution stipulates that all such ranking government officials can be appointed *only* with the advice and consent of the Senate. Both Ike and Dulles know that. But they also knew that Bohlen would be rejected. So they arbitrarily appointed him — *then* demanded Senate approval . . . with a conveyed threat that if the Senate would not approve Ike "*would go before the people.*" That is what is known in the Congressional cloakrooms as "the Roosevelt finesse" — and that oily politician usually made it stick because the Senator who is a politician first and an AMERICAN second won't risk his political hide merely to safeguard the nation. But, thank God, *today* we have a few men in the Senate who place country above self.

Senator Joe McCarthy promptly challenged the appointment of Bohlen. McCarthy did not go into any abusive tirades — he did not indulge in any "Yalta" recriminations — he avoided all "embarrassing" issues — he merely charged that Bohlen is a "security risk." His charge was tacitly supported by Scott McLeod, the new Security Officer of the State Department, when he refused to clear Bohlen. McLeod is himself a Dulles appointee, but when Dulles appealed to him for a clearance for Bohlen he declined — *on the ground that the FBI files did not warrant such a clearance.* Dulles was stunned by his own man's "perfidy." But when McCarthy called upon McLeod for a public statement of his *official* opinion, Dulles promptly muzzled McLeod . . . and warned the FBI not to permit McCarthy an examination of the Bohlen file. That did not daunt McCarthy — he hammered away. The

matter became serious. It not only threatened the Bohlen appointment — it threatened Dulles for making the appointment — it threatened Ike's "honeymoon" with Congress — and, by that token, his popularity with the people. Only overwhelming Senate approval of the Bohlen appointment could "save the bacon" for the Administration. Eisenhower resorted to FDR's old "Dear Alben" trick — he turned to "Dear Bob" Taft.

TAFT MAKES GRAVE MISTAKE

Senator Taft is as American as the Stars and Stripes. His entire record proves it. He has made his mistakes — but until now he was always big enough to promptly acknowledge them and endeavor to rectify them. There can be no doubt of his loyalty to the United States of America. There is no doubt of his **BIGNESS** as a man. His defeat in Chicago last July was due chiefly to the smears, lies and chicaneries of Eisenhower and his Internationalist backers. But Ike could not possibly have been elected in November without the whole-hearted support of Bob Taft. Taft knew it. Right then and there he could have had his revenge — and right then and there he showed his **bigness**. He swallowed his chagrin, he forgave all the chicaneries and the thieving — and threw himself heart and soul into the fight to elect Eisenhower. He did it because he sincerely believed that it would mean the salvation of America . . . *but he did it only after Ike had pledged himself to be for AMERICA first, last and all the time!*

Now, it wasn't long before Taft had proof that with Eisenhower a pledge is merely an expediency of the moment — to be cast aside as soon as it had served *his* purpose. The first shock to rock Taft was Eisenhower's appointment of Unioneer Durkin as Secretary of Labor. Taft blasted that appointment with no uncertain words. But, somehow or other, Ike quickly mollified Taft — and the honeymoon was restored. Then Ike handed three vital appointments to Dewey men: Brownell, John Foster Dulles and Allen Dulles. Dewey is Taft's mortal foe. Those appointments were three very bitter cups of tea for Taft — but he rolled with the punches and remained loyal. No doubt, much of his forbearance is due to loyalty to the Party — after all, Eisenhower was elected as a Republican, and Bob Taft is Mr. Republican.

Then came the appointment of Charles E. Bohlen. In so many words, that appointment is a whitewash of all the Roosevelt-Truman-Acheson treason. It is known that it gave Taft an icky feeling in the stomach. It was a raw and brazen betrayal of all the Republican principles to which Eisenhower had pledged himself to Taft at that famous Morningside breakfast. But, again, Taft responded to Ike's appeal and approved the appointment — *against his previously expressed disapproval* — and that was not all he did!

One of Ike's ringing campaign pledges was that there would be no more secrecy in Washington — that never again would there be any interference with investigations by Senate and House Committees — that the files of FBI and all government Intelligence agencies would be available to any legitimate investigation. But when McCarthy requested the FBI file on Bohlen he was turned down — *by executive order, of course*. Then John Foster Dulles compounded the insult by blandly announcing that *he* had examined the file and found nothing detrimental to Bohlen — *as if that settled the matter*. That was startlingly in the Truman-Roosevelt-Acheson tradition. It created a furore in both Houses of Congress. It threatened a complete break between the White House and Congress — but again Taft came to Ike's rescue: he blandly announced that the FBI files would be made available to a special Senate Committee composed of . . . *himself and Senator Sparkman*. And, of course, their report was that McCarthy, McCarran and the others were quite mistaken, that the FBI *raw* files contained nothing to indicate that Bohlen is a "security risk."

The entire procedure was a palpable smoke screen to cover up a solemnly made campaign pledge by Eisenhower. To clarify that charge, I will set forth how Intelligence Agencies generally gather and evaluate information. This applies to all Agencies, including the FBI — except that some eliminate data at low levels, which is a dangerous process and of which the FBI is not guilty.

The *raw* files of the FBI contain a vast amount of material of varying value, which comes from many sources. Most of that material are unquestionably true . . . some require investigation and substantiation . . . some may be false. All of it must be properly processed by trained and expert investigators. A sound Intelligence Agency, such as the FBI is, eliminates nothing on a current question. What may seem ridiculous today may turn out to be *significantly* correct a year or even five years from now. Data should be eliminated as of no value only when a file is finally closed.

If an Intelligence Agency eliminates data of any kind, its files may prove to be inadequate and useless for all intents and purposes when a situation becomes hot — such as the Bohlen matter. Evaluation of data is an extremely difficult undertaking, requiring specialized training and extraordinarily sound judgment. For example, in the evaluation of material concerning various areas, such as Russia, the various Balkan countries, China, Korea, or any other place, the evaluator must have accurate knowledge of the language, the history, geography, traditions, customs, personalities, and about just everything else of *national* significance. Without such knowledge and skill to appraise everything an evaluator could not properly understand the material before him.

Now, if the material deals with sabotage, espionage, treason — *and certainly all those Yalta characters dealt with at least espionage and treason*—evaluation is doubly difficult. The skilled spy leaves few traces. He usually works under a "cover" that is extremely honest and respectable — *as Hiss did*. It takes a competent and TRAINED operator to proficiently evaluate a vast quantity of uncorrelated material which he must put together as if it were a jig-saw puzzle which must have every piece in proper place to tell an entire story. Careless or *prejudiced* evaluation may save the spy.

In dealing with subversives, an Intelligence Agency in a free country, like ours, finds itself greatly handicapped by the constitutional and other legal protectives that the subversive individual enjoys. The Fifth Amendment alibi is only one of them. This often brings up the question of intent which cannot be proved — except by linking together a chain of deeds, events, incidents, relationships with other individuals, which may be found in various seemingly unrelated files.

Therefore the raw files of the FBI or any other Agency should not be shown to untrained eyes. They would be very apt to reach false conclusions. No man, no matter how well trained or how skillful, could look at only one *raw* file and reach an accurate conclusion — he would have to correlate what he saw in any one file with material in dozens of other files.

Nowthen, the Constitution requires that government officials shall be appointed only with the advice and consent of the Senate. Any Committee of either House or Congress would accept an FBI evaluation as long as that Agency remains non-political and objective — *and is uncontrolled by the White House or State Department . . .* which has not been so in the case of the FBI during the Roosevelt-Truman Administrations. Such evaluations should be provided directly to Congressional Committees upon demand. Merely showing such an evaluation to two Senators, as in the Bohlen case, is a travesty. If it can be shown to two, why can't it be shown to a Committee of nine that would include a McCarthy, a McCarran, a Jenner, men who are known to be loyal to America? If *such* a Committee of Congress cannot be trusted, why should a State Department official *such as Dulles* be trusted?

If *uncontrolled* FBI evaluations were available, much of the investigative work of Congressional Committees could be eliminated. The "decision" of any official, no matter how highly placed, is worthless if for no other reason than that he has made no *study* of the material, and even if he had made a study, it would be a question if he is sufficiently skilled, *in a highly specialized field*, to properly evaluate the material. Very few can. Certainly, Dulles can't! Nor Taft nor Sparkman. Nobody knows that better than Bob Taft!

But the most significant feature of this issue is that the FBI refused

to show the Bohlen file to McCarthy, but made it available to Dulles and, no doubt by executive order, to Taft and Sparkman. Which means that, *despite his pledge*, Ike is keeping the FBI muzzled in exactly the same manner as did Roosevelt and Truman.

Undoubtedly, Taft's clearance of Bohlen was motivated by loyalty to the Republican party. And that is something which many of his once staunchest supporters will never forgive — because he not only condoned a broken pledge by Eisenhower, but deliberately endorsed the Roosevelt-Truman-Acheson policy of keeping the American people from getting the truth.

At the turn of the 19th Century, one of our great heroes, Stephen Decatur, uttered a famous toast: "*To my country — may she always be right, but right or wrong, my country.*"

Taft seems to have distorted it to: "*To my Party, may it always be right, but right or wrong my Party—and to blaze with my country!*"

HOW IKE 'DELAYED ACTION'

From the time the United States came into existence as an independent and sovereign nation, it has been customary that the majority selected party take over all control and responsibility for the policies of government. That is why we have two parties — *and uncontrolled elections*. So that when the majority of the American people become dissatisfied with the policies of one party we can seek a change by electing the other party. Dwight Eisenhower was elected as a Republican and the assumption was that Republican policies would automatically prevail — *and that all policy-making posts would be held by true Republicans*.

The little man from Missouri, just before he became ex-President, issued an "executive order" whereby he invoked "Civil Service" to anchor thousands of his New-Fair Deal stooges in top policy-making jobs. Which means that although a Republican is actually President, he is not in *command* of the Administration. At the present writing, there are more than 1500 New-Dealers who hold key positions of government in which they can delay and prevent the reforms that we voted for when we elected Eisenhower — *and which are essential to the salvation of our country*.

Those individuals should have been replaced immediately—but, as I have previously stated the Internationalists must needs have a breathing spell of two or three months in which to "take care" of files and records. Mr. Eisenhower's alibi for retaining all those New-Deal holdovers was "a fear of being accused of arbitrarily re-introducing the old spoils system"—also the fact that all the holdovers are now "protected" by "Civil Service." Both alibis are phony. What need is there for political campaigns, for elections, for a two party system, if the

winning party's Administration does not immediately replace those whom the previous Administration had placed in posts of power. How else can the new Administration put into effect the changes they promised?

In 1933 Roosevelt lost not a moment in firing out all Republicans. As to "Red Herring" Harry's "Civil Service" trick, he accomplished that by the simple process of issuing an "executive order." All that Ike had to do on the very day he stepped into office to nullify that trick, was to issue *his* "Executive Order" revoking Harry's "Executive Order." It was just that simple.

Such an expeditious housecleaning was particularly necessary in the State Department, where the Marshall-Acheson gang had long been entrenched. Those individuals have special reasons for protecting the reputations of Roosevelt, Truman, Marshall and Acheson. It was a foregone conclusion that as long as they remained in those jobs they would continue to cover up the chicaneries of the above mentioned quartet so that the American people would not become aware of what was done in their name. And that was something that the REPUBLICAN EISENHOWER had solemnly pledged to eradicate if he were elected.

Now, when Dulles was appointed Secretary of State, he made many grand gestures to indicate that he was going to clean up the State Department—*pronto!* He loudly "co-operated" with the McCarthy Committee. He appointed Scott McLeod as the Security Officer and promised him *carte blanche* powers. He appointed an advisory committee composed of such highly respected foreign service experts as Hugh Gibson, Joseph C. Grew and Norman Armour, to advise on appointees to foreign service posts—but *they were specifically barred from "advising" on such posts as Paris, Rome, London and MOSCOW!* It is well established that this committee was not consulted on the appointment of Bohlen to Moscow. The opinions of all three men as regards Bohlen are well known—so well known by Dulles and Eisenhower that they did not dare to ask them for an *official* expression.

DULLES DOUBLE TALKS

The reasons for the objections to Bohlen have never *truthfully* been made public. Everybody, with the exception of Joe McCarthy and Pat McCarran, skirts the subject with double-talk. Dulles' statement that Bohlen will have nothing to do with *policy* was in itself an admission that Bohlen is a dangerous "security risk," because it implies that were it a *policy* position he would not have been appointed. Dulles—and Taft's—only other attempts at defense were that what was in the FBI file is not substantiated by evidence . . .

but they did not permit anybody else to see the file, nor did they state what it contained.

TRUE REPUBLICANS FURIOUS

The Bohlen case has become doubly important because it is directly responsible for the first open breach in the Republican Party. The unanimous vote in the Committee that first confirmed the appointment did not mean an unanimous opinion. The Republicans felt that they could not afford to embarrass *their* President on that issue. The risk of an *open* break is fraught with great political danger, because in 1954 the Republicans *can* very easily lose control of the Senate. However, right now some of them already feel that it would be preferable if the Democrats were in the majority in that House, as in actuality the Democrats are in control.

Unless the Republicans find a way *soon* to assume full management of the Administration—and particularly to cleanse the State Department of Marshall-Acheson influences—they will never be in charge of the Administration. The prime target of the Republican Party throughout the campaign was the Acheson gang—it was the chief issue of the Republican Party throughout the years that Acheson was in office—they dare not evade that issue now. That was their—and Eisenhower's—pledge during the campaign. Many of them feel that the continuance of Acheson men in their jobs is a repudiation of their pledges. What is worse for them, is that the American voters feel the same way. Yet, Eisenhower appointed Dulles, *by no means a far cry from Acheson himself*, as the replacement in that office . . . he heaps honors on George Marshall, who *reeks* Achesonian stench—and *is the moron who is officially responsible for the ammunition shortage in Korea* . . . he appoints the Alger Hiss-Acheson tainted Bohlen to Moscow. More and more it is becoming apparent that our once great Republican Party is no more—that those now in control of that Party are Internationalists masquerading as Republicans.

IKE BREAKS YALTA REPUDIATION PLEDGE

Another of Ike's solemn campaign pledges was that he would repudiate all of the secret agreements made by Roosevelt at Teheran and Yalta.

Any decision to cancel those agreements must, of necessity, be based on a knowledge by Congress of what they were. Equally important, the people should know, too.

Some of the evil deeds committed at Yalta have become clear by the course of events since that time, and by publication in books, speeches and memoirs. But not by any manner of means has the

entire truth of the "secret diplomacy" and treason at the Yalta meetings become revealed.

The most obvious change that governed the whole course of events resulting from the Yalta conspiracy has to do with words—just *words*—a few little *words* that have cost us 135,000 casualties in Korea, and enslaved 800 million people all over the world.

In the Atlantic Charter, Roosevelt expressed a lofty phrase: "Sovereign rights and self-government restored to those who have been forcibly deprived of them." At Yalta, at the behest of Stalin—and the urging of Alger Hiss—he added "by the aggressor nations." He designated Germany, Italy and Japan as the aggressor nations. Thereby, Russia was not an aggressor nation and could retain all territories Joe Stalin seized. Why did Roosevelt—and, for that matter, Churchill—ignore the Hitler-Stalin pact, which Hitler, not Stalin, broke? In that pact Russia was most assuredly an aggressor nation. At the time of the Yalta conspiracy, February 1945, Stalin had already seized, or occupied, Bulgaria, Hungary, Romania, Poland, Latvia, Estonia, Lithuania and Eastern Germany. By the use of four little words, "by the aggressor nations," Stalin came into full possession of all those territories and peoples—and *Roosevelt approved and consented*. By what wild and arrogant stretch of his imagination did Roosevelt define Soviet Russia as a non-aggressor nation in 1945 and doom 800 million people to abject slavery?—*and thus set the stage for the murder of American sons in Korea?*

THE TREASON COMMITTED AT YALTA

1. It was *at Yalta* that Roosevelt—*urged by Alger Hiss, at the command of Stalin*—instituted the veto in the Security Council of the United Nations;

2. It was *at Yalta* that it was decided by Roosevelt, *at the behest of Stalin*, to give Russia three votes in the Assembly of the U.N. while all other nations—including the United States—were to have only one vote;

3. It was *at Yalta* that Henry Morgenthau and bird-brain Averell Harriman cooked up a scheme to grant a huge "loan" to Russia—a "loan" of MANY billions! Even then we knew how Russia repays "loans." THAT was so brazen a scheme of treason that the traitors dropped it for fear of the wrath of the American people. But the Russians have frequently charged that because that "loan" did not come through *we* had double-crossed *them*;

4. It was *at Yalta* that the vicious Morgenthau Plan was agreed upon. Under that Plan, Germany was not only to be conquered, but it was to be devastated so that its territory could never again be used

to defend the Western world against Russia. *Under that plan*, Germany was to have been turned into a pastoral state, through which Russia could march unimpeded. It is only necessary to point out that the real originator of that dastardly idea was Harry Dexter White, a charter member of the Harold Ware Communist cell in Washington, to establish that it was all part of the Moscow pattern for the enslavement of the world. *Yet, Roosevelt endorsed it!*

At this point I will go back into history to clearly establish that Roosevelt and his councilors knowingly and deliberately aided and abetted Stalin's plans to sledgehammer the world to Communism:

For many centuries, Russia has been the mortal enemy of Turkey. In the days of Genghis Khan, Russia was a comparatively tiny state, known as the Duchy of Muscovy. Simultaneously with the decline of the Mongols, Russia started her march to world power. In that march, Turkey was pushed out of the Balkans and almost driven back into Asia. Only the Dardanelles had protected her from complete conquest by Russia. After the First World War, in which Turkey went down to defeat as an ally of the Kaiser, the Dardanelles was declared an open waterway by the victorious Allies, all fortifications were demolished, and Turkey lay a ready and helpless victim to Russian imperialism. But until 1933, when Roosevelt granted recognition to Stalin, the Communists were in no condition to plan any conquests. In fact, it wasn't until after his 1936 purges that Stalin solidified his control in Russia—and in that same year the door to Turkey was slammed shut in his face. It was in that year that by decree of the Montreaux Convention Turkey was permitted to refortify the Dardanelles and close the Straits in case of war or threat of war.

5. At Yalta, in 1945, a *secret agreement* was reached by Stalin and Roosevelt—and, *strangely enough, concurred by Churchill*—to reconsider the Montreaux Convention and once more make the Dardanelles an open waterway. This was to have been accomplished at the Potsdam meeting. But, fortunately, Roosevelt died before that meeting, and while Truman was too dumb to have interfered with Stalin's little scheme, there were others who saw the frightening nature of Stalin's game, with the result that that particular subject was abandoned. Had *that* secret agreement of Yalta been achieved, Turkey would be a Russian satellite today instead of our strongest ally in the Balkans.

6. Another clause (*minor in Roosevelt's opinion*) in the *secret* Yalta agreements was the tacit approval of the expulsion of about ten million Germans from countries outside of Germany in which they had lived for centuries. *That* idea was approved and confirmed by the stupid Truman at Potsdam.

Now, actually, that atrocity had nothing to do with the war. Most of those Germans were women and children. The real purpose of it

was to clear the way for the eventual Communist conquest of those countries. It was a direct violation of the Atlantic Charter, and why Churchill, who was co-author of that Document, agreed to it is utterly incomprehensible—but *he did*. However, the important point is that in such countries as Romania, Hungary, Czechoslovakia and Poland, mass murder on a scale far beyond that of Hitler was committed *with our consent*. Actually, of course, it was with the consent of that *great humanitarian*, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, *but in history it will go down as the shame of the American people*. And that does not end our page of shame!

7. It was *at Yalta* that Roosevelt, the great friend of Union Labor, agreed to recognize *slave labor*. Russia employed slave labor throughout the war. In their discussions at Yalta, Stalin blandly indicated that he intended to continue to employ slave labor after the war. The Germans had captured hundreds of thousands of Russian soldiers—many of whom had deliberately surrendered in order to get out of Stalin's clutches. In addition, there were hundreds of thousands of Russian civilians who had fled to Germany and Western Europe to escape Stalin's tyranny *before the war*. Nevertheless, Roosevelt agreed, *at Yalta*, that *all* Russian Nationals in Germany under American jurisdiction should be handed over to the Russians—“*for repatriation*.” There was absolutely no doubt that the great bulk of those hundreds of thousands of hapless human beings would be executed upon reaching Russian territory, or be consigned to slave labor camps in Siberia. All of them frantically pleaded against that forced “repatriation”—thousands of them committed suicide to escape it . . . yet, *General Eisenhower*, by decree of the Yalta agreement, used American troops to drive them into concentration camps from which they were handed over to the Russians.

(NOTE: *This very “repatriation” atrocity has been the impasse in the Korean truce talks, with Russia vindicating the Red's demands for forced repatriation of all prisoners, by pointing to the Yalta agreement in which “humanitarian” Roosevelt had endorsed it and General Eisenhower had fulfilled it!*)

Nobody knows—that is, nobody outside of Eisenhower and his immediate staff—how many poor devils we handed over. No doubt all documents in connection with this atrocity have long been destroyed; but it ran into many hundreds of thousands—*probably millions!* The important fact, as far as *we* are concerned, is that until we broke with Russia we were delivering innocent people over to Stalin to be murdered, or enslaved. And that is why the Yalta agreements *MUST* be repudiated and revealed in all their horror. True, the murdered people cannot have life restored to them, but we can pin the blame where it

belongs and at least *to that degree* absolve the American people.

3. There is no particular need to discuss *in detail* what was done to Poland at Yalta. As in the case of the luckier Turkey, Russia has been Poland's mortal enemy throughout the centuries. Today Poland has been totally conquered and is an enslaved province of Russia. When—and if—all the facts will become known, they will show that all the steps to make that conquest easy were taken at Yalta *with the consent and approval of "Humanitarian" Roosevelt!*

KOREA IS RESULT OF YALTA

And as we go into the Far Eastern secret agreements at Yalta, it will become obvious that, just as Roosevelt made it easy for Stalin to take over in Europe, just so did he try to make it easy for him to gobble up all of Asia. In short, it would seem that the entire purpose of the Yalta meeting was to make Stalin the conqueror of the world—*on the assumption by Roosevelt, we must presume, that Stalin would then hand it over to him. As it turned out, "the great humanitarian" made it easy for Communists to kill Americans!*

When—and if—those secret agreements are ever revealed it will be shown what a frightful price the United States paid—and *is still paying*—at the behest of Roosevelt for a mere (*and phony*) promise that Russia would enter the Far Eastern War immediately after the Nazi surrender. The most frightful item of all is, of course, the present Korean War, which has already cost us 135,000 casualties *with more to come!*

It must be remembered that Stalin was our so-called "ally" only in the European war . . . he was neutral in the Far Eastern War. In 1942 he told Averell Harriman that in return for our aid in the European War he would come into the war against Japan as soon as the Nazis surrendered . . . later he repeated that same assurance to Cordell Hull and Pat Hurley. But at Teheran he still stalled, and it was then that Roosevelt promised him that if he would enter the Far Eastern War, he (Roosevelt) would give him Dairen—*which meant Manchuria* . . . and history clearly records that he who controls Manchuria, controls Korea and China and all of that area of Asia.

Stalin was startled by that offer: he could hardly believe his ears. At first he was fearful of the dangerous complications it might involve; but he quickly recovered, grabbed the idea and developed it into his fantastic plan for going clear back to the 1905 Treaty of Portsmouth and demanding everything that the Czarist government lost to Japan in that year—*plus Mongolia and the Kurile Islands*. That meant for Stalin ownership of Manchuria, which, in turn, meant an easy conquest of China, Korea, and perhaps even Japan.

Actually, Stalin was greatly overrated as a "diplomat"—he was "brilliant" merely because he was dealing with lame-brains and traitors. He undoubtedly overestimated Japanese power, or he would not have missed his opportunity in 1944 and early in 1945 of capturing parts of Japan itself. Instead he remained so strictly neutral that even though we were "allies" in the European war, American air men who flew over Japan into Siberia were promptly interned in Siberia. Also, the United States was refused air bases in Siberia to be used against Japan. And all this time we were providing Stalin with ELEVEN BILLION DOLLARS of war aid! This is a situation so fantastic that it sounds like fiction, yet it is absolute truth.

In his book, "Back Door to War," Professor Charles Callan Tansil provides documentary evidence that Japan positively did not want to go to war with the United States. It was obvious prior to Yalta that Japan was hopelessly defeated. It was fully known in August, 1944, *half a year before Yalta*, that Japan had lost over five million tons of her shipping and was no longer able to provide for the war economy of the Japanese people. In short, it was known that an early collapse of the Japanese was inevitable. In the face of all that, why did Roosevelt pay such a frightful price to get Russia into that war? Admiral Leahy had stated, *long before Yalta*, that "we can defeat Japan without Russian aid" . . . MacArthur and Nimitz had worked out a perfect blueprint for the defeat of Japan without the slightest aid from Russia. Yet Roosevelt thrust the fate of the world—and the lives of our sons—into the bloody hands of the 20th Century Genghis Khan for a mere promise of aid *we did not need!* Why?

The truth of all the treason committed at Yalta has been deliberately shrouded in mystery. Who aided and abetted Roosevelt in handing everything over to Stalin? We know that Alger Hiss and Harry Hopkins did—that Morgenthau and Harry Dexter White did. But there were others, probably far more important than those above named, who were equally guilty. What about Herbert Lehman? . . . Felix Frankfurter? . . . Bernard Baruch? George Marshall said before the Russell Committee that he knew nothing about it—well, from all indications, Marshall doesn't even know he was born. According to the Leahy and Stettinius memoirs Roosevelt was in constant conference with Marshall on all the military phases of the Yalta agreements, but, as in the case of the Pearl Harbor attack, the long senile Marshall can't remember anything about it. Acheson said he knows nothing about it . . . in short, nobody knows anything about it. Anyway, nobody will "talk." So the big question is: will those facts ever become officially revealed? Only a formal repudiation of those secret Yalta agreements will do it—and that is where Dwight D. Eisenhower steps in!

During his campaign, Eisenhower pledged himself to repudiate and cancel the Teheran and Yalta—*but especially Yalta*—secret agreements. He did not say that he would also undo the harm already done under those agreements. Admittedly, that is impossible. The chief value in the cancellation of those agreements, as we gathered from his statements, would be the publication of all the documents relating to them, so as to prevent any further harm—*also to fix all the blame where it belongs*. That was a shrewd political gesture—and I have always contended that that was all it was. I am as positive today *as I was when he made that pledge* that he would never go through with it. Anyone at all familiar with his background and record can easily see *why* he can't go through with it.

As Supreme Commander of all the European forces, *he, of necessity*, had to be informed of the agreement *at Yalta* for the ruthless "repatriation" of all Russian Nationals in Germany . . . he had to be informed of the expulsion of those ten million German women and children, in order to arrange for their orderly transportation . . . *he certainly* had to be informed of the plot to let Russia "capture" Berlin and all the Balkans—because only *he* had the authority to stop General Patton's glorious march to the greatest of all American victories . . . he had to be informed of the planned rape of Poland, otherwise he would have been an insuperable obstacle to it—because at that time he was in command of the most powerful military machine in the history of the world. In short, it is inconceivable that the Yalta plotters would have dared to proceed without taking Eisenhower into their complete confidence.

And *that* is why I contend that Eisenhower cannot fulfill his Yalta pledge. A repudiation of those secret agreements will, of necessity, have to reveal all the terms of the agreements—*and the names of those who participated in them*. If in the repudiation our State Department should attempt to conceal any of the features—*or names*—of the agreements, the Russkies, in their rage, will tell all. Eisenhower knows it—his Internationalist backers, *who have so much to fear from such revelations*, know it.

There is still another vital deterrent to such a repudiation: it was at Yalta that the malodorous "United Nations" was born. *Eisenhower is pledged to preserve that monstrosity*. He has proclaimed that he will do everything in his power to strengthen it. A repudiation of the Yalta agreements would naturally reveal all the treason in that rotten egg, too!

That's why Eisenhower will never fulfill his pledge to repudiate the Yalta agreements—*if he can avoid it!* And that's why the American people must FORCE him to fulfill it! It is the only way that we will

ever learn the names of the traitors and enemies WITHIN. There are many such still in high places in Washington. I am sure that Ike knows who they are. So does Dulles. Just as long as those enemies in our midst are shielded, just so long will our country—and *the lives of our sons*—be in grave peril. Eisenhower MUST fulfill his pledge to repudiate the Yalta treason agreements!

HISTORY DOES REPEAT

In 1946 and 1947 I repeatedly warned the American people that Stalin had captured Hollywood and our Legitimate Theatre and transformed both into Red propaganda Machines. I *named* the American traitors in Hollywood and on Broadway who were doing that job for Stalin. I was reviled and vilified by those I had named . . . I was "blacklisted" by the Masters of the Film Industry . . . the fans of the Stars I named, otherwise good Americans, denounced me as a "character assassin" and a "villain." But I persevered—and in the years that followed various Congressional Committee investigations confirmed all my charges.

In effect, I have been going through the same experiences during 1951 and 1952. In our August 1951 Bulletin, "*Eisenhower, a Truman Trap*," I told the American people that the Internationalists who for 20 years had been the *secret* government of our country had decided upon Dwight D. Eisenhower for our next President. Truman was politically dead, the New Deal-Fair Deal gang was in complete disrepute: there was very little doubt that the American people, sickened by the rotten mess in Washington, would turn to the Republicans in 1952. That spelled grave danger for the Internationalists—*unless they could get control of the Republican Party and thus again foist their choice upon us*. And I warned that Dwight D. Eisenhower was that choice. Again I became a target for an assortment of charges, ranging from Fascist and Character Assassin to Warmonger and Anti-Semite—but I stood staunchly behind my charges.

At the Convention in Chicago, as we watched the Internationalists manipulate the wires which landed the nomination for Eisenhower, I felt that my charges were vindicated—and then, throughout the campaign, I merely smiled as I listened to the glittering promises and pledges Ike sounded off to win the election. My only comment was: wait and see the kind of men he will appoint to power—and *I named them in advance*: Dulles, Lodge, Stassen, Dewey, Winthrop, Aldrich, etc. I also stated that all those grandiose pledges were merely bait for votes—that he would not fulfill them. Now, just for comparative purpose, lets go back for a moment to my "Hollywood" crusade.

HOLLYWOOD REDS WRITHE AGAIN

The latest investigation of Communism in Hollywood by the House Un-American Activities Committee took place in Los Angeles during the week of March 23, 1953. Throughout that week scores of Reds were hauled in to answer the \$64.00 question. One hardly needs to describe their performance. The pattern was set in 1948 by the notorious "Hollywood Ten." Each one screamed the same kind of vituperation—each one shrieked about civil liberties and the Bill of Rights—then sought refuge behind the 5th Amendment. To which the Red shysters, who came there to give them legal aid and comfort, added abuse and insult.

The only novelty of the occasion was provided by several former Reds, who frankly acknowledged that they had finally become sickened by the brazen treason required of them, and freely related the forms of that treason. What they told made the various members of the Committee gasp—yet not one of them told anything that I had not published *five years ago* in far greater detail in my books, "Red Treason in Hollywood" and "Documentation of the Red Stars in Hollywood"—and which had been brushed aside by those very members of the Committee as being too fantastic to be true. For example:

David A. Lang, an ex-Communist film writer, testified on March 24, and graphically outlined the Red scheme to insert its Red propaganda into motion picture scripts. "The Communist writers," said Lang, *"conspired through a 'writers' clinic' to alter scripts for Hollywood movies and very successfully injected Communist propaganda into the films . . . the entire plot of the Communist party is Revolution, and any subject based on brutal mistreatment or maltreatment of minority groups, or members of minorities, is highly desirable. Discontent is a chief prop of the party. If you make people discontented by showing them Communism in a better light they thought that was good."*

He then described the method employed to get absolute control of the entire film writing profession by setting up what was called "The Writers' Clinic," composed of the top echelon writers (all Reds, of course) in Hollywood and making their services available to all Red writers in Hollywood. Purpose of this, he said, was to discuss scripts and enable the "top echelon" writers to *"improve them (the scripts) from the Communist view point. All Red writers were rigidly required to submit all scripts assigned to them by their Studios to the officials of 'Writers Clinic' for proper infiltration of Communist propaganda."*

The officers and "top echelon" men in the "Writers' Clinic," accord-

ing to Lang's testimony, were John Howard Lawson, Dalton Trumbo, Lester Cole, Paul Jarrico, Paul Trivers, Carl Foreman, Alvah Bessie, Ring Lardner, Jr., among others.

In addition to the "chore" he had already described, all Red writers were inducted into various "cells" in the industry, with orders to infiltrate throughout the film industry so as "not only to put their propaganda into their scripts, but also to get into the various Guilds and other organizations that are integral parts of the industry."

Now let us compare Lang's testimony with what I stated in "Red Treason in Hollywood" back in 1948—and which was violently denied by the Moguls of Hollywood and the Screen Writers' Guild:

THE 'SCREEN WRITERS GUILD' A RED HOT BED!!!

"The Writer is the nerve-center of the Film Studios. He is the man who can put a seemingly guileless line, loaded with Commie propaganda, into the mouth of a Gable or an Irene Dunne and have true Americans innocently glorifying the Red ideology. So, the Commies' first objective was the Screen Writers' Guild. Under the direction of John Howard Lawson, they transformed that Guild into their first absolute Red stronghold. Of course, Lawson had plenty of help from Donald Ogden Stewart, John Huston, Alvah Bessie, Dalton Trumbo, Lester Cole, Philip Dunne, Ben Hecht, Ring Lardner, Jr., Clifford Odets—and others I will later name.

"And the craft and the guile those men employ puts all of us Americans to shame—and chagrin!

THEIR METHODS

"For example: Since the public's hue and cry against Commie propaganda in pictures, American minded producers and directors are on the alert. So the writer is instructed by the Commie 'Control Board' not to write a complete Commie scene, but to inject a few T.N.T. lines in an important and costly scene—lines that seem innocent enough, until they are heard from the screen. If the Director is smart enough to catch such lines in the writing, they come out. But if he overlooks them . . . well, no Studio will spend fifty or a hundred thousand dollars to remake that scene just for a line or two.

"Another trick that's worked time and again: John Ford, or Cecil B. DeMille, or some other 'right' Director, is about to do a picture and needs a writer. Some agent, who plays ball with the Commies, is instructed to turn on a super-sales talk and sell that Director a mediocre hack writer who is seemingly untainted. A good Agent can do it, particularly if he also has a Star the Director wants, and especially as

the writer will work for 'peanuts.'—'peanuts' in Hollywood being about \$500 a week.

"All right—the hack writer is handed the story. He takes it home with him. That night the story is analyzed by a group of really ace writers. They find the spots wherein Commie lines can be injected and carefully wrapped up in vital scenes—and so sugar-coated that even a Sam Wood would not suspect the writer of malice aforethought.

"They work nights on it. Several weeks later the hack writer walks into the Studio and nonchalantly hands in his script—and knocks the Director off his feet with its excellence!!! Why not? He has \$50,000 worth of writing in it for perhaps two or three thousand. He is so elated with the dialogue and construction that he completely forgets to scrutinize it—and when the picture is released a choice bit of Commie propaganda is passed out to the audience.

"However, those tricks are not necessary as often as we would like to think. There are many, many Directors and Producers who will engage none but Red writers—the Redder the better!"

FDR FORCED THEATER TO GO RED

Another penitent and co-operative ex-Red to appear before the Committee was Danny Dare. His testimony dealt chiefly with the Legitimate Theatre (Stage). According to Mr. Dare, very few plays were produced during those depression years in the 1930's, and stage jobs were few and far between; especially for Stage Managers—his particular field. Then in 1934, the Federal Theatre Project came into existence. It was a government project, ala the WPA and other job-making relief organizations. That project set up Federal Theatres in practically all communities throughout the nation. But when Mr. Dare sought a job with that organization he made no headway—until he joined the Communist Party and swore allegiance to Moscow. At once all doors were open to him and he rapidly rose to the topmost heights—as a DIRECTOR, if you please—the highest ambition of a Stage Manager!

In "Documentation of the Red Stars in Hollywood"—written in 1948—beginning on page 12, under the heading, "Roosevelt opened the Door." I outlined in detail how that "Federal Theatre Project" was created by FDR and placed under the direction of one Hallie Flanagan, a known Communist Party member, who with FDR's approval, appointed other Communist Party members as Regional Directors throughout the nation. It quickly became known throughout the profession—and in Hollywood—that all choice jobs with the "Project" were reserved for actors who were already members of the Communist Party, or for those who were willing to become zealous

Communists. Among the "graduates" of the Federal Theatre Project who thus achieved Stardom on Stage and on the Screen were Orson Welles, John Garfield, Gene Kelly, Joe Cotten, Dorothy Comingore, etc., etc.

I published that charge in 1948—*for which I was vilified and branded a liar and character assassin . . .* in 1953 my charge is finally verified.

I mention this for one specific reason: I am now making similarly grave charges against President Eisenhower, Dulles, the "United Nations," and those who betrayed us at Yalta. Many good Americans will simply be unable to believe me—just as they refused to believe me in 1948. I urge those—*for the good of our country*—to make sure of their doubt before they cry "liar" and "character assassin."

I will conclude this Bulletin with the following earnest and sincere statement: there is not one true American in these entire United States who would refuse to go *all-out* to help President Eisenhower in finding a solution to our grave problems—and, I, personally, would be his most humble follower and staunchest supporter—if he will give us some real evidence that he will fulfill his campaign pledges . . . that's all: *just fulfill his campaign pledges!* AND GET THE U.S. OUT OF THE U.N. AND THE U.N. OUT OF THE U.S.!!!

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596
Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com